

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
26 February 2004 (26.02.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/016299 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61B 1/00**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IL2003/000661

(22) International Filing Date: 7 August 2003 (07.08.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/404,110 15 August 2002 (15.08.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SIGHT-
LINE TECHNOLOGIES LTD.** [IL/IL]; MATAM - Ad-
vanced Technology Center, 31905 Haifa (IL).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **EIZENFELD,**
Amram [IL/IL]; 19245 Kibbutz Ramot Menashe (IL).
SALMAN, Golan [IL/IL]; 25 Cohen Street, 30200 Tirat
Hacarmel (IL).

(74) Agents: **SANFORD T. COLB & CO.** et al.; P.O. Box
2273, 76122 Rehovot (IL).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,
SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
6 May 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: **ENDOSCOPE SLEEVE DISPENSER**



(57) Abstract: Apparatus (20) for sheathing an endoscope (22) includes a dispenser (24), having entry and exit ports (36, 39) defining a transit passage through which the endoscope may pass, and a flexible sleeve (26), at least a portion of which is bunched in a vicinity of the dispenser. The sleeve includes a distal end (28), which is closed, and a proximal end, which is open and fixed to the dispenser so that as the endoscope is advanced in a distal direction through the transit passage, the endoscope enters into the sleeve through the proximal end and engages the distal end of the sleeve, thus causing the bunched portion of the sleeve to be extended so as to cover a distal part of the endoscope that protrudes through the exit port.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
26 February 2004 (26.02.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/016299 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61B 1/00**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IL2003/000661

(22) International Filing Date: 7 August 2003 (07.08.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/404,110 15 August 2002 (15.08.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SIGHT-
LINE TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [IL/IL]; MATAM - Ad-
vanced Technology Center, 31905 Haifa (IL).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): EIZENFELD,
Amram [IL/IL]; 19245 Kibbutz Ramot Menashe (IL).
SALMAN, Golan [IL/IL]; 25 Cohen Street, 30200 Tirat
Hacarmel (IL).

(74) Agents: SANFORD T. COLB & CO. et al.; P.O. Box
2273, 76122 Rehovot (IL).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,
SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
6 May 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: ENDOSCOPE SLEEVE DISPENSER



(57) Abstract: Apparatus (20) for sheathing an endoscope (22) includes a dispenser (24), having entry and exit ports (36, 39) defin-
ing a transit passage through which the endoscope may pass, and a flexible sleeve (26), at least a portion of which is bunched in a
vicinity of the dispenser. The sleeve includes a distal end (28), which is closed, and a proximal end, which is open and fixed to the
dispenser so that as the endoscope is advanced in a distal direction through the transit passage, the endoscope enters into the sleeve
through the proximal end and engages the distal end of the sleeve, thus causing the bunched portion of the sleeve to be extended so
as to cover a distal part of the endoscope that protrudes through the exit port.

WO 2004/016299 A3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL03/00661

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A61B 1/00

US CL : 600/124

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 600/124, 121, 122, 123, 125, 114

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EAST - search terms: endoscope, sheath, dispenser

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6,293,907 B1 (AXON et al) 25 September 2001, column 5, lines 8-30, Figure 5.	1-4, 18, 19-22, 35

Y		5, 14-17, 32-34, 36-39, 44-47
X	US 5,496,259 A (PERKINS) 05 March 1996, Figure 2.	1, 18
A	US 6,224,543 B1 (GAMMONS et al.) 01 May 2001, Figures 5 and 6.	1-47
A	US 6,416,462 B1 (TOVEY et al.) 09 July 2002, Figure 1.	1-47



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:		"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E"	earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&"	document member of the same patent family
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 February 2004 (10.02.2004)

Date of mailing of the international search report

11 MAR 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Beverly M. Flanagan

Telephone No. (703) 308-0858

Subject: Fw: The earth is flat---please read

From: "Jerry Lang" <langcentral@rcn.com>

Date: Sun, 9 Sep 2007 12:55:38 -0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)

To: "Alan S. Kaplan M.D." <Doctorask@comcast.net>, <ALang20165@aol.com>, "Arnold Binder" <binder@fitzmail.com>, <bardach@verizon.net>, <Bbrudner1@aol.com>, "Betty Lublin" <bettylublin@yahoo.com>, "Bobbie & Stan Okumura" <okumuras@verizon.net>, "Carol Wallace" <cfwmbw@comcast.net>, <Carolanddickr@aol.com>, <dlizb@aol.com>, <Dodobales@aol.com>, <evcher@yahoo.com>, <Irvblaw@aol.com>, "Jason Fox" <abacus@bellatlantic.net>, "Jeff Langlaw" <jefflanglaw@kc.rr.com>, "Joseph Kleinman" <joeandpaula@webtv.net>, <judierosenthal@yahoo.com>, <MARJGORD@aol.com>, <mhoffman@hwglaw.com>, "Mickey Barney" <mickeyb@stopcopy.com>, <mirbs4@gmail.com>, <MsfSugar1@aol.com>, "Ronald Jacobs" <rjacobs@wjlw.com>, <schultzfein@earthlink.net>, "Sid Brounstein" <sybsid@yahoo.com>, "SIDNEY SHEIN" <sidlin@verizon.net>, "Steven T. Stoller" <stoller@erols.com>, "ted task" <taskkfb@comcast.net>, <TELB711@aol.com>, <Vissers.Inc@cox.net>, "Zelick(Alex) Waganheim" <zelaalwag@yahoo.com>, "Zena & Bert Stoller" <bzstoller@starpower.net>

-----Original Message-----

From:

Subject: The earth is flat---please read



24 Elul 5767 / 7 September 2007
Torah reading: Nitzavim-Vayelech

Aish.com Weekly Email - 260,000 subscribers

your email address

Search

Jewish World

Jewish World

• jewish society •
israel diary • middle east • media

objectivity •
jerusalem

Society Today

Spirituality Family

Dating Jewish

Literacy Holocaust

Studies Torah

Portion Shabbat

Holidays

Western Wall Cam

Jewlarious Videos

Ask The Rabbi MP3

Audio Site Aish

Shopping

Programs in Israel

About Us/Donate


Aish Int'l Email Lists

RSS



Middle East

◀ Jewish World Homepage



Israel is the victim, not the root of all evil.


Email this article Print Version

Sometimes we make the most fundamental errors. When large numbers of people make mistakes -- even monumental ones -- it is almost impossible to challenge the resultant prevailing view. It was once the conventional wisdom that the earth is flat. In ancient times if anyone dared to claim that the earth was round, they would have been denigrated as being detached from reality. When, in the 16th century, Nicolaus Copernicus dared suggest that the sun was the centre of the solar system and not the earth, he was regarded as a heretic.

In today's world any attempt to explain the Arab-Israeli conflict in terms other than "Israel's illegal occupation of Palestinian land" and the "denial of Palestinian nationalist aspiration" is often regarded like a declaration that the earth is flat and the center of the universe. But what if this view is wrong? What if, in terms of understanding the Arab-Israeli conflict, we are living in pre-Copernican times? What if the Jewish State that is considered to be the root of all evil in the Middle East were instead the victim?

Unlike the ANC, Israel has not found genuine negotiating partners.

South Africa's Apartheid history is often invoked against Israel both internationally -- by former President Carter amongst many others -- and in South Africa -- by trade union leaders and politicians. But what if the real Apartheid of the Middle East is the one directed against the Jews? And what if Israel is more akin to the African National Congress (ANC) -- the famous South African liberation organization led by Nelson Mandela and now the governing party? In South Africa the conflict was caused by a white racist Apartheid regime. The ANC was always ready to talk peace, but the regime refused to talk and so the conflict could not be resolved, and the ANC was forced into an armed struggle. Like the ANC, the Israeli government has always been ready to talk peace but has been forced since the birth of the Jewish State into an armed defensive struggle because the anti-Semitic Arab world has not been prepared to talk peace. The ANC had to wage an armed struggle for many years until finally white South Africans were ready to talk, and then the long-standing conflict was resolved relatively



Aish.com delivered
handheld devices
e-mail

Add Aish.com button to my
Google Toolbar

quickly. Unlike the ANC, Israel has not found genuine negotiating partners. And so its struggle continues, and peace remains a distant dream.

What if Zionism is not colonialism but rather an ancient people's deep connection to their native, historical and covenantal land? What if the real colonialism is Arab expansionism, which contests a Jewish state on even 1/520th of the area of Arab lands? Nearly 4000 years ago the forefathers of the Jewish People, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob lived in the land of Israel, which God had promised to them and to their descendants forever. That promise was confirmed at Mount Sinai, and was delivered upon by God through Joshua, after the death of Moses, more than 3 300 years ago when the Jewish People entered the land after being liberated from Egyptian slavery and oppression. About 3000 years ago King David established Jerusalem as the capital city of the Promised Land. The Jewish people lived in the Land of Israel for 850 years until their expulsion by invading Babylonians. They returned in large numbers seventy years afterwards and remained for many centuries until their eviction by the Roman Empire. Despite unremitting anti-Semitism and persecution some Jewish communities managed against great odds to remain in Israel during the long interval between the Roman dispersion and the events leading to the re-establishment of the Jewish State in 1948.

Destroying Jews

What if the dispute has never been about Palestinian statehood but really about the destruction of the Jews and the only Jewish State on earth? In 1917, the Balfour Declaration, confirmed later by international law through the League of Nations, declared the British Mandate of Palestine to be a National Homeland for the Jewish People, recognising 4000 years of Jewish connection to the land, and the injustice of the destruction of ancient Israel by the Romans and the forced removal of the Jewish people. In 1922 the British took 76% of the land designated for a Jewish state in Palestine and allocated it instead to the Arabs, creating east of the Jordan River a new country than called Transjordan, and later, to be known as Jordan, which to this day has a Palestinian majority. In 1947 the United Nations voted to establish two states -- one Arab and one Jewish -- west of the Jordan river on the remaining 24% of the original portion of land allocated for a Jewish State by the international community. In spite of this reduction to their original portion the Jews accepted the offer, which was then rejected by the Arabs. This was the beginning of a long history of Arab rejectionism. And so, in 1948 the newly reborn State of Israel was invaded by Arab armies from Egypt, Lebanon, Iraq, Syria and the Arab Legion, all of which made it quite clear that they intended to destroy the tiny Jewish state its at rebirth and to massacre its citizens, many of whom were Holocaust survivors. Israel survived the war, and from 1948 to 1967, the West Bank and the Gaza Strip were in Arab hands and there was no "occupation" of these territories then. If the cause for the Arab-Israeli conflict is the "occupation" of the West Bank and Gaza then why did the conflict rage throughout these years unabated, with continued Arab refusal to recognise Israel and to make peace with its Jewish neighbour? Why was it that in mid-1967 just before the 6 Day War, and before the West Bank and Gaza fell into Jewish hands that Arab leaders called for the destruction of Israel? What "occupation" was at issue? Why at that time did Syrian President Hafiz al-Assad order his soldiers to attack Jewish civilian targets to "pave the Arab roads with the skulls of Jews"?

For the 19 years that Jordan controlled the West Bank and Egypt the Gaza Strip, the Arab world had the opportunity of establishing another Palestinian State in those territories, and chose not to. Why not? If the conflict is about Palestinian Statehood, then why was there no talk whatever of a Palestinian State for all those 19 years? After the Six-Day War, Israel immediately tried to enter into negotiations with the Arab world about the political future of the West Bank and Gaza. The response came from the Khartoum Conference of all the Arab States on 1 September 1967 in the form of the infamous 3 No's -- "No Peace, No Negotiation, No Recognition". And so, when in 2000 at Camp David, Yassar Arafat rejected without making a counter-offer at all, Israel's proposed 95% of the West Bank and Gaza as well as land compensation for the remaining 5%, his rejection was wholly consistent with Arab rejectionism of any Jewish presence at all.

The conflict is really about Arab rejection of the very presence and existence of a Jewish State.

If the Arab-Israeli conflict is about a Palestinian State then there has always been an obvious solution of two states living in peace side by side. The conflict is more fundamental and therefore, all the more intractable, and is really about Arab rejection of the very presence and existence of a Jewish State, and probably any Jews at all, in the heart of the Middle East. And so the very charter of Hamas calls for the murder of all Jews world-wide. And rockets from Gaza continue to target Israeli civilians even after Israel evacuation. And threats of genocide and a second holocaust, together with denial of the first, emanate from Iran. And the Arab world is awash with the most rabid and pernicious anti-Semitism.

What if the war directed against the State of Israel is really the global war of fundamentalist tyranny against freedom and democracy? Then indeed all of those who believe, with the best of intentions, that they are defending a vulnerable victim, are actually being complicit in one of the worst injustices in the history of human civilization. They will have sided with the forces of death and destruction, of fear and prejudice. What if the world is siding against the only beacon of freedom and democracy in the Middle East, thereby endangering us all, because the fate of Jews is often a sign portending the future? Hitler came after the Jews first, and then he attacked the world. Suicide bombings began in Jerusalem and then migrated to New York, Bali, Madrid, London and Nairobi. We need clarity to understand these tumultuous times. We also need an ultimate vision of peace and reconciliation between Arab and Jew. The conflict in the Middle East is between brothers, and that is the real tragedy. We are all the children of Abraham; Jews are the children of his son Isaac, and Arabs the children of his son Ishmael. The Talmud tells us that, although the sons of Abraham fought for many years, when Abraham was buried in Hebron, Isaac and Ishmael were reconciled at his grave. Let us all pray to God that we will merit to see the day when brother will once again be

reconciled with brother in the Middle East.

This article originally appeared in South African Sunday Times, June 17, 2007.

Published: Sunday, July 08, 2007

[Hear related audio on this topic](#)

[Top of article](#)

[Email this article](#)

[Print Version](#)

Be a better Globetrotter. Get better travel answers from someone who knows.
Yahoo! Answers - Check it out.

FREE Animations for your email - by IncrediMail!

[Click Here!](#)

